Case 1:19-cv-11489-ALC-JLC Document 42 Filed 09/23/20 Page

USDG SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 9/23/2020

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
	X	
CARINE RASAMETRAIPOB,	:	
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	<u>ORDER</u>
-V-	:	
	:	19-CV-11489 (ALC) (JLC)
MIMOUNE INC., et al,	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	
	X	

JAMES L. COTT, United States Magistrate Judge.

WHEREAS, the parties came before me for a settlement conference yesterday and reached a settlement in principle; and

WHEREAS, the parties have agreed to consent to my jurisdiction over this case under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) so that their settlement agreement may be reviewed by me given my familiarity with its terms;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the parties are directed to file a joint letter motion along with their settlement agreement **no later than October 23, 2020** to request court approval. The letter motion should explain why the proposed settlement is fair and reasonable and otherwise complies with the Second Circuit's decision in *Cheeks v. Freeport Pancake House, Inc.*, 796 F.3d 199 (2d Cir. 2015). The parties are directed to this Court's rulings in *Cruz v. Relay Delivery, Inc.*, 17-CV-7475 (JLC), 2018 WL 4203720 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 4, 2018) (no reemployment provision impermissible and provision related to communication with media should not be overly restrictive); *Rivera v. Relay Delivery, Inc.*, 17-CV-5012 (JLC), 2018 WL 1989618 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 26, 2018) (release that was broader and thus more favorable to defendants than plaintiff's narrower release was impermissible): *Howard v. Don Coleman*

Case 1:19-cv-11489-ALC-JLC Document 42 Filed 09/23/20 Page 2 of 2

Advertising, Inc., 16-CV-5060 (JLC), 2017 WL 773695 (S.D.N.Y. Feb. 28, 2017) (any mutual

non-disparagement provision must include carve-out for truthfulness); and Souza v. 65 St. Marks

Bistro, 15-CV-327 (JLC), 2015 WL 7271747 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 6, 2015) (regarding impermissible

confidentiality provisions and the proper scope of mutual general releases), for further guidance

as to permissible and impermissible terms.

For recent settlement papers that the Court has approved, the parties are directed to the

following cases, as examples: Rodriguez v. Emenike, No. 18-CV-5786 (Dkt. Nos. 36, 38

(settlement agreement); Dkt. No. 37 (court approval order)); Yahuiti v. L Ray LLC, No. 19-CV-

1114 (Dkt. No. 24 (settlement agreement); Dkt. No. 25 (court approval order)); De Luna

Hernandez v. City Catering, No. 18-CV-3919 (Dkt. No. 49 (settlement agreement); Dkt. No. 50

(court approval order)); and Sanchez v. New York Kimchi Catering Corp., No. 16-7784 (Dkt. No.

98 (settlement agreement) and Dkt. No. 99 (court approval order).

SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 23, 2020

New York, New York

United States Magistrate Judge

2